

QUADRANT

ONLINE

[Home](#) [News & Opinion](#) [Arts & Letters](#) [Authors](#) [Magazine](#) [Store](#) [Subscribe](#) [Donate](#)

[About Us](#)

[ACCOUNT](#) [MENU](#)

Aborigines

The Corrupting Myths of Indigenous Origins

29th June 2023  [Comments \(2\)](#)



Peter Purcell

Forty-three years ago, the resident Aboriginal community declared that Noonkanbah Station in the Fitzroy Valley in northern WA was their sacred land where their traditional laws had precedence over the constituted laws of the State of Western Australia. They objected to the drilling of an oil well on the pastoral leasehold station and, encouraged by their supporters, locked the gates and denied entry to the exploration companies. The dispute was orchestrated to put Aboriginal land rights in WA on the front pages of the nation's newspapers and it succeeded brilliantly. The battle to stop the drilling was lost but the war was won, with Noonkanbah now widely seen as a pivotal event in the social conflict over Aboriginal land rights in Australia.

It was of no consequence to supporters then or since that the basic premises on which the land rights battle was fought at Noonkanbah were largely false: there was no traditional tribe living on the traditional land for millennia and practicing their unchanging religious law, and to claim so was a ruse.^[i] Many of the people at Noonkanbah were descendants of desert clans who had migrated north into the river country and overwhelmed the river people demographically and culturally. These migrants brought their desert law with them and modified it to better suit their new residence in the valley. Their main religious fervour was focussed on two 'travelling cults', Woagaia and Djuluru, both 20th Century imports. Woagaia, imported desert-based myths and rituals, was linked to Jinimin, a Jesus Christ figure who was ordering the people to renew their Aboriginality,^[ii] and to Noah's Ark, said to be aground in the desert to the south, full of minerals and riches for the future when white Australians were all dead.^[iii] The Djuluru cult, created in the Pilbara by a disciple of self-proclaimed Marxist revolutionary Don Macleod, featured Hitler and the Japanese bombing of Broome and promised the end of white Australia.^[iv]

We have come a long way since Noonkanbah, swept along by Mabo and Wik in ways unimaginable for most Australians 40 years ago. Many consider the

changes are for the good; many do not. A near mystical aura is now attributed to Aboriginality in the popular imagination and has been expressed in major legal and legislative decisions and in profound changes in social attitudes. Yet, much of what is popularly accepted as traditional Aboriginal culture betrays the same disregard for the truth that was manifest at Noonkanbah.

We now have 'Aboriginal' as a self-identification almost free of the requirement of ancestry. Witness Bruce Pascoe, with a documented Anglo-Celtic ancestry dating back centuries,^[v] being accepted as an Aboriginal by a Federal Minister for Aboriginal Affairs, who was supposedly leading the nation towards a new truthfulness about history. We have an urban Aboriginal elite self-identifying by tribal name only, effectively denying their Anglo-Celtic heritage, while calling for truth-telling. We have urban mixed-race people with little knowledge of traditional Aboriginal culture claiming to be 'traditional' custodians of the land. We have others protesting the 'invasion' and wailing at an adopted family history of massacre and rape, seemingly oblivious to their predominantly Anglo-Celtic ancestry.

We have widespread acceptance that even the smallest amount of Aboriginal blood renders a person 'Aboriginal' and accords them a spiritual bond with the land that goes beyond Western imagining. We have a High Court that believes this and makes new laws accordingly: witness the Love decision repolishing the old ruby that the Aborigines belong to the land.^[vi] This should not be a surprise, of course, given geneticists who suggest that a unique affinity to the land might have osmotically curled into the Aboriginal DNA during their millennia of wanderings across it,^[vii] and Aboriginal leaders who assert that Aboriginal people can somehow have genealogical links to the land.^[viii] To the outside observer, this must seem a curious development for a society whose laws and culture were derived primarily from the Christian ethos and Western Enlightenment. But perhaps the intention is to put an end to that.

Noonkanbah was not the triggering event for this socio-cultural 'revolution' but it was a pivotal early battle in the campaign, especially on the western front. The 'out-station' or 'homelands' movement, championed by H. C. (Nugget) Coombs during the Whitlam years, had been passed into law (*The Land Rights Act*) in 1976 by the Fraser Government, and Aboriginal groups

were beginning to move out of the outback towns and stations into 'autonomous' settlements, some on pastoral leasehold land purchased by the Government, such as Noonkanbah Station.

The 'homeland' initiative was undoubtedly a well-intentioned aspiration to improve the lives of Aboriginal people in remote towns and settlements, but it was underpinned by the age-old paradisaical myth in modern guise: the seductive fruit, in this instance, was Western society. The return to what is now called Country was the essential first step in the minds of Coombs and fellow travellers towards stopping the policy of assimilation and getting Aboriginals safely away from white Australian culture and control. This movement would, in the romantic vision of the urban elite, restore dignity and self-esteem to the Aboriginal communities. Coombs was a typical urban intellectual, who hated civilization and saw the Australian people as doomed unless they could open their hearts to the 'deep Aboriginal identification with the sacred earth of this continent'.^[ix] The intention was not to bring the

Aboriginal communities into the modern Australian nation but to save them from it. It is arguable that little has changed.

No doubt there are communities where the return to isolated settlements in remote areas or fringing outback towns has worked, but there are many that are distinguished by rubbish and ruin, with houses in disrepair, car wrecks abounding, and beer cans and bottles the main flowers in the landscape. The movement gave little by way of self-reliance, of course: the settlements were built with taxpayers' money and a large majority of people were on some form of welfare. In most places, there was no future prospect of gainful work or a sustaining sense of self-worth.

There are, of course, many people of Aboriginal heritage who are settled and successful within the broader Australian community in the cities and regional towns. Ministers of State and Federal parliaments are among them, as are business owners, teachers, nurses, footballers and many others. They are indistinguishable from other Australians in their aspirations and sorrows but increasingly the politics of identity is drawing many into choosing sides in the nation's deepening racial divide.

In 1992, 12 years after Noonkanbah, the High Court discovered the existence in common law of 'native title' on the Australian mainland while considering inheritance rights among Melanesian farming communities on the Murray Islands. *The Native Title Act 1993* gave legislative force to that decision, and the pursuit of title to 'traditional' land became a nation-wide focus for Aboriginal communities, the urban-aculturated no less than the tradition-oriented. Now, in the early 21st Century, the push is for Reconciliation

generally and a constitutionally enshrined Voice to Parliament specifically, with a Treaty and Sovereignty already on the planning board, and activists fighting over the preferred order.

Forty-three years on from Noonkanbah, as we approach the referendum about the Voice, we are entitled to ask – indeed, have a responsibility to ask – whether these earlier initiatives worked out, given that current initiatives appear to be based on similar assumptions. Has the policy of establishing communities on 'traditional land' been a success? Are the remote communities healthy and flourishing? Has dignity been restored, and pride renewed? And what of the urban communities? Are they happier now, given the pride of place accorded Aboriginal culture on the national stage? Are they less embittered about the past and more encouraged about the future? Or have peace and prosperity proved as elusive as dreams of cargo?

In WA, over 30 pastoral leasehold stations have been purchased since 1980 with Government funds for Aboriginal communities across the Kimberley and Pilbara regions. Approximately 93% of the Kimberley region, including many of those pastoral stations, is now held under native title. This suggests that a majority of the Aboriginal people in northern WA would now have the opportunity to live on their 'traditional land', in accordance with their own blending of traditional ways and modern community rules – an arrangement which the urban elite, Aboriginal and otherwise, has claimed for decades would restore pride and ensure the future. The reality in many places is

substantial financial loss and appalling social deterioration. A review in 2018 for the WA Government concluded that over 50% of the stations were operating at less than half their potential,^[x] with many Aboriginal stations virtually run into the ground, with abandoned and collapsing homesteads, broken windmills and fences, feral cattle and stock sold off or dying of neglect.^[xi] Some of these problems are slowly being addressed in various ways, including sub-leasing to large pastoral companies.

Despair, alcohol and violence. The commercial problems fade towards insignificance, however, by comparison to the social problems that prevail in the region: alcohol and drug abuse and domestic violence are beyond crisis levels in many communities across the Kimberley today, and have been for years. Alcoholism and violence were so bad in Fitzroy Crossing that the sale of full-strength beer was banned in 2007, at which time, the town had the world's highest rate of foetal alcohol spectrum disorder (FASD), lifelong brain damage done to unborn children. Full strength beer was also banned in Hall's Creek. Many communities tried to solve the alcohol curse by electing to be legally designated as 'dry' but sly grog running has been a persistent problem and has included drugs for many years now. In many places, methamphetamines have

replaced glue and petrol sniffing. The situation is worsening. Covid-linked restrictions on alcohol sales in remote WA regions have not significantly slowed alcohol-driven lawlessness and violence. Young people roam the streets of towns such as Broome and Carnarvon at night, breaking into homes and stores. Ramming police cars with stolen vehicles has become an entertainment.

This lawless behaviour appears symptomatic of individual and community collapse into despair. A 2016 review found that indigenous suicide rates in remote WA communities were among the worst in the world. Of the 125 suicides, 80% (102) were indigenous people, 70% male, the majority less than 30, and over 25% teenagers.^[xii] The WA Coronial Inquiry into the suicides in the Kimberley in 2012-6 of 13 children and young adults, the youngest only ten, found that most were from homes marred by alcohol abuse and domestic violence. Several, including four of the children, had themselves used drugs or alcohol from a young age; several had been sexually abused.^[xiii]

This societal breakdown is not limited to WA. In Alice Springs, lawlessness, a long-term problem, has grown exponentially since the Stronger Future alcohol bans were dropped in July 2022, allowing alcohol in many Aboriginal town camps for the first time in 15 years, according to Marion Skymgour, Federal MP for Lingari. Adult fighting, involving men and women, is common, as are clashes between families armed with machetes, crowbars and bats. Daylight home invasions, vehicle theft, property damage and physical violence are the daily experience for townspeople. Hundreds of children, some as young as five, roam the streets at night, many drinking alcohol, including hand sanitizer in soft drinks, or sniffing glue or petrol. As appalling as that is, Alice Springs Mayor Matt Paterson suggested that the children were probably safer on the street and away from the alcohol and violence at home.^[xiv]

Tellingly, the same problems are manifest among the Anangu Pitjantjatjara Yankunytjatjara communities spread across a vast region spanning the SA and

IN 1 border. This is their 'traditional land', which they own freehold and which they manage exclusively and in accordance with their own traditions and preferences. According to the segregationist model, these should be ideal communities. Yet domestic violence and child sexual abuse are endemic. Street violence is common. Alcohol and drugs are routinely available, despite 'dry' regulations. A 2008 report said that the children lived in dysfunctional communities, with considerable violence and fear as well as drug and alcohol abuse. Death rates are high. Youth unemployment is very high, despite available jobs, reportedly because the young people don't find the jobs 'meaningful'. [xv]

The appalling levels of physical and sexual violence against Aboriginal women and children have been known for a long time. Jacinta Nampijinpa Price, now a Federal Senator, has spoken courageously about this problem for many years. Louis Nowra's 2007 *Bad Dreaming*[xvi] sought to collect statistical and anecdotal evidence to attract attention to the scale of this national tragedy. Nearly two decades ago, the Aboriginal Child Sexual Assault Task Force's 2006 *Breaking the Silence* found that sexual assault of children in Aboriginal communities was widespread, affecting both girls and boys. In the Northern Territory child sexual assaults were four times the national average, and that reported cases 'grossly under-represented the reality'. Aboriginal boys were 10 times more likely to be assaulted than other Australian boys. In Queensland, a 2006 survey found that 10% of male youths were raped before they were 16. [xvii] Sexually transmitted diseases are an added violation of these young victims. In WA, the occurrence of gonorrhoea among Aboriginal children 10 to 14 years old was 186 times higher than the general population. In the NT, 30% of 13-year-old girls had gonorrhoea or chlamydia. Nor is this problem limited to remote communities. In New South Wales, the Task Force found that child abuse was so widespread that no family in the 29 rural and urban communities surveyed had escaped its touch.

Contrary to the 'Black Lives Matter' propaganda, the greatest threats to the lives of Indigenous Australians are not white policemen but other Indigenous Australians. Statistics spread across two decades show the awful and unchanging reality. From 1989 to 2000, Aboriginal people were 15.1% of homicide victims in Australia and 15.7% of all homicide offenders, despite being about 3% of the population. [xviii] Between 1989 and 2012, 951 Indigenous Australians were murdered, 765 of them (80%) by other Indigenous Australians, with 504 being victims of domestic violence. [xix] In 2018 in the NT, 85% of the 5100+ Aboriginal victims of violent crime knew their attackers; over 50% had been attacked by their partners, with the majority of victims (88%, 2075) being women. 70% of Aboriginal people in jail are there for crimes of violence against their loved ones. Nor have the adults managed to contain this violence among themselves: between 2007-11, 26% of deaths among Aboriginal children were from physical abuse. [xx]

What is the source of this violence? The popular answer is that it was learnt from the 'invading forces', fuelled by dispossession, compounded by the lost parenting skills of the 'stolen generation' and fanned by generations of racism. An influential segment of the Australian society sees all problems within the Aboriginal community as the fault of other Australians, past and present. No

fault is found with the offenders. Rosalia Kunoth-Monks, for example, the 2015 NAIDOC Person of the Year and NT Australian of the Year, posits that Aboriginal ‘heritage is one of grace and nobleness’ and Aboriginal communities would function perfectly were they not being deliberately destroyed by ‘a colonialist-born, neoliberal regime’. The problem is that the ‘nobility of the first peoples and their humanity and their spiritual truth’ are not being considered when decisions are made.^[xxi] This is ‘noble savage’ dreaming and it is part of the problem.

Despite all the promises, programs and expenditure, currently estimated at over \$30 billion annually, despite all the acknowledgements of Aboriginal culture, despite land rights and Native Title and all the rest, the situation in many Aboriginal communities is worsening at all levels. Alcohol and drugs obviously play major exacerbating roles, but it bears asking whether the cause of the ubiquitous violence might lie deeper.

Not too far from Alice Springs a century ago, a young woman was accused by male elders of disobeying their laws: she had wandered too close to the men’s secret place. For punishment they made her the sexual slave of all the men in the clan.^[xxii] In Alice Springs only a few years ago, Senator Jacinta Price has recounted, another young woman was accused by male elders of disobeying their laws: her husband’s death, they declared, showed that she had not cared properly for him. For punishment, they made her for a time the sexual slave of all the men in the clan. It is hard to deny a nexus between these two atrocities.

Might some of the contemporary violence have its origins in the violence within the traditional culture? Might some of the problems blighting Aboriginal communities arise not from the loss of traditional culture, as is commonly claimed, but from the contemporary expression of it? Might the increased physical and sexual violence against women and children in recent decades be, in part at least, an unfortunate aspect of the revivalism that has swept through Aboriginal communities since the 1970s? The common ‘tradition defence’, whereby men plead not guilty of rape or sexual abuse by claiming traditional rights or entitlements makes clear that the answer is ‘yes’. These confronting questions have been raised in the past by Jacinta Price and others but, despite their far greater importance, they never attract the attention given to symbolic issues such as the date for Australia Day.

Myths of Origin. The major difficulty with these questions is their inherent contradiction of the paradisaical myth now popularly accepted as the reality of traditional Aboriginal life and culture before the ‘invasion’. This myth sees a peaceful happy people, at one with nature in a land of plenty and, in that context, questions about tribal or family violence make no sense. There is a price to be paid for that, however. This denial of violence in traditional tribal life and the re-imagining of the past as an idyllic existence are both ‘myths of origin’ and history has warned us that building a future on such myths often has a price in violence.^[xxiii]

In the first instance, if violent aspects of a culture are denied, and lies are told of peaceful tribes and brotherhood among men, then violent behaviour, when it occurs will be seen as aberrant and excuses will be sought elsewhere when

re-occure, may be seen as reality, and evidence may be sought elsewhere, when the truth is actually closer to home. Violent treatment of women, sexual relations of old men with young girls, even homosexual use of young boys, are all aspects of traditional tribal life. By not admitting this, the potential for re-occurrence is not foreseen or guarded against.

At another level, the ubiquitous presentation of traditional culture as a paradisaical myth, with Eden destroyed by colonial and capitalist invaders, incites resentment and violence in many of those who believe themselves the rightful owners from which it was stolen. Far from aiding a reconciliation of Aboriginals with the broader Australian society, these fabrications are an increasingly divisive issue and are serving to worsen the violence within Aboriginal communities and against the broader community.

There are many myths supplementary to the overall paradisaical myth and, collectively, they now constitute the accepted ‘truth’ about pre-colonial Aboriginal culture. These myths include the following: that there were 100s of Aboriginal nations prior to the British ‘invasion’; that Aboriginal people are all descendants of a single migration 40,000–60,000 years ago (40–60 ka); that theirs is man’s oldest living culture and one of humanity’s great achievements; that tribes lived in peace and harmony with each other; that tribal lands have never changed since the Dreamtime; that traditional life in pre-colonial Australia was pleasant and peaceful; that all places associated with Aboriginal myths and culture are ‘sacred’, often of such degree as to need the protection of secrecy.

These myths purport to be an honest recalling of the past but there is much about them that is false, and knowably so, and they are clearly not proving a useful guide for the future. Truth-telling about the traditional culture will not magically cure the problems of the present but it might be a useful first step towards a better future.

The notion of Nations. Some of the myths seem innocuous enough but are not without impact that is arguably divisive: the adoption of the North American ‘First Nations’ terminology, for example. Since its promotion in the National School Curriculum a few years ago, this term has become the shibboleth for the correct attitude to Aboriginal history. Until recently it had been accepted, that there was no ethnographic evidence for Aboriginal ‘nations’;^[xxiv] indeed, even the notion of the ‘tribe’ was questioned in some areas.^[xxv] These days, every tribe is deemed to be a nation in itself or part of a greater nation. Setting aside the nonsense that the ‘nations’ terminology

allows about international travel and trade (as displayed on signposts in Arnhem Land) it might be seen simply as people exercising their right to self-classify however they choose. There is, however, a political sub-text: the term connotes an entitlement to sovereignty and the right to sign inter-national treaties with the other nations such as Australia. There is also a divisive social sub-text: that the founding of Australia did not displace hunter-gatherer peoples but destroyed nations and civilizations that had evolved over millennia – a falsehood that can add to the level of trauma felt by some Aboriginals and fuel frustration at their personal circumstance and their anger at ‘white’ Australians.

The single migration. Some myths, such as that of a single migration of ancestral Aborigines 40–60 ka, persist in the public imagination regardless of clear evidence otherwise. Early concepts of multiple migrations of the ancestral Aborigines fell into disfavour in the 1970s, anthropologically and politically, and natural selection after a single migration became the preferred explanation of observed physical differences. DNA studies in recent decades have been interpreted as evidence for both a single migration^[xxvi] and multiple migrations,^[xxvii] but the consensus remains for an initial main migration about 50 ka and subsequent only ‘minor additions to the gene pool’.^[xxviii] The main recent migration, prior to the British, involved people from India about 4000 years ago, a time of sea-faring adventurism by tribes from the Indus Valley.^[xxix] The dogs that arrived with these people spread slowly across Australia and evolved into the dingo.^[xxx] Whether people came here 50 ka or 15 ka or 40 ka, their journeys were among mankind’s great migrations and there is no reason, other than political activism, to deny those ancestral peoples their individuality and their remarkable journeys.

Oldest living culture. The myth of a single ancient migration feeds into the claim of being the world’s ‘oldest living culture’ with the implication of an unchanging ‘sacred’ tradition. In reality, Aboriginal culture changed considerably over the millennia in response to many influences, including major climatic events such as the Last Glacial Maximum (LGM) about 23–18 ka. The first peoples brought with them a Palaeolithic hunter-gatherer culture, then presumably widespread on the Eurasian continent, and the modern claim of Aboriginal cultural exceptionalism is based on the notion that this ancient way of life was isolated and preserved here, while agricultural and other cultures evolved in Eurasia, courtesy of the interchange of knowledge and technology. Similar claims of a unique antiquity are made by people in other countries, including Papua New Guinea, through which some ancestral Aboriginal people likely passed, and Africa, from whence all came. The original culture was not preserved intact, however, being subject not only to evolutionary change but also to revolutionary impacts associated with the arrival of new peoples and the importing or local invention of new languages, stone technologies and social structures. It has recently been suggested that the culture at the time of colonization had evolved only in the last 2000 or so years.^[xxxi]

Immemorially unchanging homeland and culture. The myths of a timeless unchanging traditional tribal culture and residence on the same ‘sacred’ land since the Dreamtime are core beliefs of many Aboriginals and other Australians. Indeed, they have legal standing: the Federal Court ruled against the Goorarabooloo native title claim on Dampierland in northern WA because the claimants’ occupancy did not ‘go back to time immemorial’.^[xxxii]

Contrary to this romantic notion, recent studies, notably time-series analyses of archaeological data, are confirming that the traditional world was not unchanging at all. During the Late Pleistocene and Early Holocene (30–7 ka) a sparse, highly mobile ancestral Aboriginal society was confronted by cold dry conditions during the Last Glacial Maximum (23–18 ka) and, to a lesser

extent, the Antarctic Cold Reversal (14.5-12.5 ka). The clans are thought to have survived by withdrawing into the areas more conducive to survival, including the South East Highlands, the Northern Kimberley and the Arnhem Plateau. An estimated 80% of the land area was abandoned during the LGM in favour of residence in the well-watered ranges and major river systems. Sea-level slowly rose by about 120 m after the glacial era ended, flooding an estimated 1.6 million km² of coastal plains, much of it relatively pleasant habitat from which occupants were forced to migrate. [xxxiii]

Wetter conditions of the Holocene Climatic Optimum, 9-6 ka, led to an expanding population resettling large areas, and a new language and technology then emerged in northern Queensland at the southern end of the Gulf of Carpentaria. [xxxiv] The Pama-Nyungan language (as it is now called) spread across the continent, except for the Kimberley and Arnhem regions, [xxxv] and was accompanied by a new stone technology and new rock art. [xxxvi] It is not known if these changes were associated with the arrival of a new group of people. Some new social structures such as patrilineal kinship, exogamous marriage and multi-group rituals might have first emerged at this time. [xxxvii]

Arid conditions returned about 4.5 Ka and the population again withdrew into the more hospitable areas until the La Nina climatic amelioration about 2 ka at the start of the Christian era. The population then increased substantially, accompanied by a new mobility and technological and social change. The complex social and religious systems present at the time of colonial contact – the classificatory kinship systems, geographic totemism, graphic art systems

and formalised exchange networks – likely formed at this time, in the last 1500 years or so. [xxxviii] Despite these indications of major demographic shifts, DNA evidence also suggests that people stayed in the same general region [xxxix] – southeast Australia, for instance – moving to different locations within it as circumstances required.

These cultural and demographic changes are reflected in the layered mythology and iconography in many areas. In WA's Kimberley rock shelters, for example, the imposing Wanjina rain figures have locally been painted over the delicate Gwion drawings. The Dingari stories of WA's Great Sandy Desert are probably a mythologized memory of the migration there about 1500-2000 years ago, not 50 ka, as the tribal dogma insists: the presence of Gunya Gudjara, the Two-dogs, in the mythic mob places the migration in the last 4000 years, after the dog arrived from India.

It is very firm dogma that insists that Aboriginal tribal land has not changed since the Dreamtime, but dogma is not constrained by the truth. When change occurred, as it did often, the mythology was simply revised to validate the new situation. Thus, clans from Warburton (WA) who moved westward into the Mt Margaret area, simply 'dreamt' a new myth telling how their Dreamtime ancestors once journeyed to Mt Margaret and thereafter always insisted they were just following that Dreamtime path. As further demonstration of adaptive ingenuity, they then imagined their children's conception spirits not as traditional mythic beings but as motor cars and aeroplanes to be used in dreams to travel back and forth to the desert. [xl]

The challenge to the dogma of permanent residency can also be solved by what

is known anthropologically as a contra-mnemonic – in pub talk, a poor memory. In this way, by forgetting the past, identity becomes the lived experience, being ‘reterritorialized as it is relocated within the living landscape’, as one anthropologist put it.^[xli] Again, in pub talk, you can come from where you choose to come from.

There has always been something inherently insulting in the myth of Aboriginal tribes bound immemorially to a constant landscape and mythology, as though they were incapable of seeing that the grass was greener on their neighbour’s lawn and desiring it for themselves. Land could always be acquired by amalgamating with other clans or when neighbouring clans died out or even taken by force. In the late 19th Century, for example, prior to white pastoralists arriving in WA’s Fitzroy Valley, Nygena and Bunaba clans had moved onto river land ‘owned’ by the dwindling Djaba tribe,^[xlii] with the Bunaba having earlier been driven from the western Leopold Ranges by Ngarinjin people.^[xliii] Around the same time, the Walbiri tribe defeated the Waringari clans in the Tanami area (NT) and forcibly took over their country.^[xliv]

Nor was tribal culture as rigidly unchanging as is now claimed. Even when the people were relatively sedentary, the culture ‘moved’. Extensive trade routes across Australia allowed the exchange of secular goods and religious objects, as well as women, and also facilitated the sharing of new myths and rituals. The multitude of myths nationwide associated with the Rainbow Snake, for example, evidences the syncretisation of the basic myth with pre-existing local beliefs. So too does the Kunapipi cult, which might have originated from an All-Mother deity introduced by Macassan seafarers to coastal tribes,^[xlv] and was traded extensively among communities in northern Australia, evolving in very different ways in different areas and at different times. This fertility cult had a strong emphasis on reproduction and rebirth and involved highly sexual components. In the northern Kimberley, the sexual elements were ‘extracted’ into a new cult called Gurangara^[xlvi] and merged with the powerful desert spirit, Djanba. By contrast, in the Central Desert areas, those sexual aspects were largely removed and the Kunapipi women, renamed Gadjeri, became subordinate figures in the male-dominated mythology of the Walbiri people.^[xlvii] These details are not important in themselves; the point is the diversity and dynamics of Aboriginal belief systems.

Harsh tribal reality denied in Eden. Among the most prominent and persistent of the myths of origin are those focussed on a fantasised idyllic life in Australia prior to the arrival of the British ‘invaders’: ‘nations’ living together in harmony since the beginning of time and all enjoying a pleasant and peaceful existence. Even a brief review of readily accessible and respected publications such as Ron and Catherine Berndt’s *The World of the First Australians* and Norman Tindale’s *The Aboriginal Tribes of Australia*^[xlviii] provides clear contrary evidence, as very briefly summarised below, but the myths persist regardless, and they encourage major misconceptions about the extent of violence and cruelty in traditional Aboriginal culture.

Certainly, some clans and tribes had peaceful relations with their neighbours, sharing women and food resources, at least in times of plenty, but this was not everywhere and always the norm. In many areas, tribal boundaries were precisely known and strictly defended, with trespassers killed. Conflict between clans over women or to avenge a death ascribed to sorcery were

common. Revenge killings were virtually a way of life in areas as far apart as Arnhem Land and southeast Victoria. The practice was so common among the Aranda in Central Australia and Arnhem Land clans that they had different words for the different tactics and killing methods.^[xlix] Major battles between tribes are reported from all corners of the continent, from Arnhem Land in the north to Encounter Bay in the south and the Hammersley Ranges in the west. Large scale killings occurred: Aranda men reportedly massacred over 100 men, women and children at Irbmangkra in Central Australia and killed all members of another clan nearby, both being punishments for

perceived sacrilegious acts.^[l] Some Dreamtime battles, such as that between Eagle Man and Crow Man near Wentworth in NSW, are thought to be mythologized memories of past tribal conflicts.^[li]

Tribal life was harsh in many aspects. An unforgiving belief in spirits and sorcery left people ever fearful of harm that might come to them by fault of their own wrong-doing or the black magic of another. Infanticide was widely practiced, as was cannibalism. Taboos surrounding men's 'secret business' were strictly enforced and violation brutally punished, including death and sexual slavery for women. Women were often stolen or traded, and their sexual favours were used in bargaining and peace-making, as well as in group copulatory rituals. Widows were obliged to become wives to their brother-in-law. Polygamy was common, with men in some tribes having more than a dozen wives. Incest was not uncommon. Marriages were arranged, invariably involving young girls being passed to the older initiated men. Many tribes practiced sub-incision (slitting the penis along the underside; medically, known as urethrotomy or, more colloquially, whistlecock). Pre-teen girls were ritually deflowered, commonly by their promised husband, with a finger or stick. Various forms of genital mutilation were practiced, including slitting the perineum and forcibly enlarging the vaginal opening manually or by lacerating it internally with a stone knife.^[lii] This is not to say that family and tribal life were without affection and joy, especially when times were good and food plentiful, but it does caution against romantic notions of family bliss in a paradisaical garden.

Blood memory. Several contemporary myths go more directly to Aboriginality. In the first instance, there appears to be a widespread acceptance in Australia that Aboriginality is 'in the blood', preserved in total regardless of the extent of 'mixing' with European or other blood. This is accepted in Australian law which recognises as Aboriginal any person with even a minor percentage of Aboriginal blood, if they choose to so identify. For some people, there is the conviction that 'blood memory' holds intact the lived experience and culture of their ancient ancestors.^[liii] Aboriginal blood is also seen in the popular imagination to be multifaceted, a source of both the sacred and the profane, conferring spiritual identity with the land but also great sporting prowess: when Patty Mills lead the Boomers to an Olympic bronze traditional was attributed to his 'sublime Indigenous blood'.^[liv] (To his credit, Mills referred only to his pride as an Australian.)

Sacred life and landscape. Underpinning and flowing from these various myths of origin is the now firmly entrenched belief that a 'sacredness' is

associated with traditional Aboriginal society and its contemporary manifestations. Every geographic feature is a 'sacred site'. When Midnight

Oil sings, they are standing on sacred ground. When the South Australian Premier visits drug-ravaged Central Australian communities, he is on sacred land.^[lv] When Galarrwuy Yunipingu speaks to the PM he does so with Yolgnu 'tongues of fire'^[lvi] – notwithstanding the possible origins of that imagery with long-serving Christian missionaries in Arnhem Land

This idea of the 'sacredness' of traditional Aboriginal society began around the turn of the 20th Century as part of a broader European re-interpretation of the spirituality of 'primitive' societies. The perception of taboos – things 'set apart and forbidden' – soon volved in the Western mind from a pre-religious belief structure to a religion in embryo to a full religion in its own right.^[lvii] Mythology was no longer a primitive belief but a sacred and fundamental truth. Specifically, Aboriginal mythology was the 'sacred' history of the Dreamtime and traditional Aboriginal life, being conceptualised anthropologically as a re-enacting of that sacred past, must itself be sacred.^[lviii]

By the mid 20th Century, in an amazing reversal of values, the loss of belief in these 'sacred' myths was cast as the cause of an emptiness in the Western soul. This, of course, was but another example of Western intellectuals dreaming paradisaical myths of a golden past and noble man, but it underwrote much of the anti-assimilationist views that have driven the land rights agenda since the 1960s. It was soon widely heard by the broader Australian society and influenced the profound social changes that began at that time, including the popular romanticism regarding Aboriginal culture.

An obsession with indigenous spiritualism is not exclusively an Australian phenomenon, of course; it is common throughout the Western world. In New Zealand, for instance, the idolizing of Maori spirituality has been described as a 'metaphysical palliative' for the Anglo-Celtic community.^[lix] For many people, Australians included, the idea of a sacred Indigenous culture seems to be a reassurance of spirituality, even salvation, in an increasingly secular Western society. There are various explanations offered from this sense of longing for spirituality, notably the decline in commitment to the Christian religion and a loss of belief in Western society and its traditional values. These social changes have been greatly influenced by the intellectual class as it moved progressively from the counterculture of the late 1960s into postmodernism, critical race theory and cancel culture ideology.

The main societal conflict over claims of Aboriginal sacredness concerns 'sacred sites'. The term was popularised in the 1960s by anthropologist Ronald Berndt, who called it a 'handy abstraction'^[lx] to express the Aboriginal man/land link, and later by Justice Edward Woodward (later Sir), who retained it as the 'most convenient' term for his report into land rights in the NT, despite considering 'sites of special significance' to be a better term in most

cases. Significantly, Woodward specified that the term should apply only to those 'sites of such importance in the cult life of the clan that only the fully initiated men were allowed to visit there.'^[lxi] Within a few years, however, *The Aboriginal Land Rights (Northern Territory) Act 1976* had re-defined 'sacred site' as any 'site of significance according to Aboriginal tradition'. Most other

legislation followed suit and the term has become common usage.

The societal conflict arises from the discarding of Woodward's recommendation that the term should not be applied to sites which were no longer part of ongoing traditional cult beliefs and rituals. When the myth is no longer a code of spiritual 'law' and is better considered lore or legend, the associated localities ought not be considered to be sacred sites – but that case is lost: Australian law says the sites are sacred.

New myths emerge. Many nations and peoples have myths of origin and draw identity and unity from them. Many Australians, for instance, hold firmly to the mythology of a nation forged in the sacrifice of the Anzacs. But the unifying impact of these myths can change as the social or political fabric changes. Some Australians now see Anzac Day as 'contested' and Australia Day has become an occasion of protest for a vocal minority. In recent decades, the potential for serious social discord flaring into violence has become particularly acute in countries which have a colonial past: the colonised and the coloniser have very different myths and very different requirements of them. The 'old' myths of origin become increasingly symbolic of a bitter social divide, focused mainly on race.

New myths emerge in modern times when Indigenous descendants seek to emphasize their aboriginal identity and perceived rights by reviving their ancestral culture and customs. The product, known as *kastom* in Melanesia, is ostensibly a revitalising of traditional culture but is actually a re-imagining of it in an idealised form, commonly by an urban indigenous elite, and bearing little relation to what has been 'documented historically, recorded ethnographically or reconstructed archeologically'.^[lxii] The new myths of origin are written at societal and personal levels.

On a personal level, the process involves a re-invention of inner Aboriginal self by digging up bits of the past, reclaiming bits of language and memories of place, and filling in the spaces with imagination, according to prominent Aboriginal Stan Grant in *On Identity: a construction, not entirely a work of fiction but selective in its facts*, and inevitably accepting of, and adopting, a narrative of loss and exile...rape, pillage and massacre.^[lxiii]

At a societal level, the colonial history is rewritten. The pioneer is torn down from the pedestal, metaphorically and sometimes literally. The new mythology focuses on the perceived evils of the 'invading' culture and the unfailing goodness of the Indigenous culture which, in its reimagined form, owes much to Western romanticism and New Age dreaming. Wise ecologists and nurturing elders abound, and people live in harmony with Mother Earth, nature and each other. There is a wisdom beyond all Western science about land management and the stars and much else. All terror and evil are not so much forgotten as inconceivable. The world's 'oldest living culture' is said to have 'the oldest constitution... the oldest governance system on this planet'.^[lxiv]

New rituals and symbols crafted to accompany the new mythology are soon

deemed to be ancient, even sacred traditions. The ubiquitous Welcome to Country ceremony, created by the young performers Ernie Dingo and Richard Walley in 1976, is an example. Dot paintings are fetishized and now appear on everything from football boots and aircraft to boomerangs made in China. The didgeridoo, found only in the Adelaide River area in pre-colonial times, becomes a nation-wide symbol of Aboriginal music. Smoking ceremonies, now a political requirement at many events, including the opening of Federal Parliament, are deemed to retain their ancient purification magic even as they can be ordered online. Everything is packaged to overprint the new mythology on the old.

Inevitably, these changes in the national mythology are a source of societal conflict, with anger and resentment on both sides of the barricade. The ubiquitous celebrating of the new mythology is not welcomed by those comfortable with the old mythology of the nation's founding. Historically the majority, they see the authority of their perspective dwindling as society ages and becomes more multi-ethnic, and younger generations, having been groomed at school in the new mythology, adopt it enthusiastically. Their resentment progressively deepens and can easily turn violent. Ultimately, the battle turns on the level of support within the society overall for the aspirations of the indigenous community and their supporters. Within Australia, that level will be tested by the coming referendum on the Voice—though the conflating of recognition and a constitutionally enshrined Voice will inevitably complicate that vote.

Many would argue that questioning these celebrations and symbols of the new mythology is unnecessary cavilling: the celebration of Aboriginal culture ought to be simply welcomed, traditional or otherwise. After all, the High Court has decreed that tradition is dynamic and will change with time and various influences.^[lxv] What was invented last year can be traditional today!

That being the case, it might be hoped that the current public support for the modern Aboriginal culture – re-imagined or evolved, as you prefer – could be a source of pride that would offset some of the pain of past prejudice and practices. But the screaming protestors on Australia Day and other occasions suggest it is also creating anger: idealizing the past culture and seeing it celebrated on the national stage is, for many, not a source of reconciliation but of alienation. They are driven to rage by thoughts of a lost Eden which they believe Australians have stolen and despoiled. That is the point about myths of origin: there is often a price that is paid in violence.

Violent Price of Myths of Origin. At the basic level, the denial of violence in the tribal past will see it reappear unchecked in the modern community, just as reimagining traditional life as idyllic at family and tribal levels will fuel anger at the 'invader' society. There is also a multiplier effect from the broader society's adoption of the myths and the incorporation of them into social and political protocols. When people are told repeatedly they have a unique relationship with the Australian land and a greater moral entitlement to it; when their flag stands prominently behind Prime Ministers and Premiers at every speech, and flies atop the nation's prominent buildings and famous bridges; when every major meeting, event or official function, every industry

drugs, when every major sporting event or official function, every industry conference or Qantas flight acknowledges their tribal 'ownership' of the land and declares respect for their elders, past, present and future; they will soon enough, human nature being what it is, expect those assurances of greater moral worth to bring with them commensurate material entitlement. When that material worth is not adequate in its forthcoming, then more bitterness and anger will build, and more violence will inevitably follow.

The acknowledgements and ceremonies do not directly provoke violence, of course, but they re-enforce the hopelessness that abounds in so many Aboriginal communities, both remote and urban. The alcohol and drug abuse and the violence flow from the sense of worthlessness and despair. It is an unintended consequence – but a consequence nonetheless – of a future built on myths of origin. That violence is directed both outward and inward. Outward, the violence is directed at the 'white' society. In WA, for example, the increased crime by Aboriginal youths in Perth and other urban centres is almost nightly news and recent acts of counter-reactionary vigilantism have had fatal consequences. Inward, the violence is within the Aboriginal community, with clan and family brawling, with violence to family and self, with physical and sexual violence to the women and children, even to the unborn through alcohol. Many are traumatized for life; too many find an end of life easier to bear.

Much is made these days of the need for truth-telling but, contrary to the popular wisdom, the greater need might be within the Aboriginal community. Admitting the harsh and brutal aspects of Aboriginal tribal life in pre-contact Australia obviously doesn't offer any solution to a deplorable social situation bred of generations, but it might be a useful first step towards dealing with any undesirable legacy. If the past is acknowledged, it can provide insight into the present and might help offer some hope for the future. If we admit, for instance, the possibility that more Aboriginal people were killed during the colonial era by other Aboriginals than by white colonists,^[lxvi] then we might begin to see the origins of the very high number of Aboriginal crimes of murder and assault against fellow Aboriginals. We might begin to understand why more Aboriginal women are killed by family members every year than die in police custody and start paying more attention to the former rather than the latter.

An honesty about traditional life allows people to be comforted where brutal practices have faded away, and to commit to their eradication where vestiges of them remain. The current violent situation in so many communities cannot continue. Change is needed. A new voice is needed. But not simply a voice that talks to Canberra about a future built on these same old myths of origin. That approach has been failing for a long time. What clearer meaning could sickness, drunkenness, alcoholism, criminality, prostitution and psychic disorders have?, anthropologist W. E. H. Stanner asked in *White Man got no Dreaming*, and that was 50 years ago.^[lxvii] Romantic dreaming of a noble and happy past is a 'wrongful turning', to borrow from Stanner again.^[lxviii] It offers a false view of the past, which means the lessons there will not be learnt, and an equally false view of the present, making any sense of personal responsibility very hard to develop. It is not the way to a better future.

Truth-telling and Reconciliation are widely championed by the Aboriginal

truth-telling and reconciliation are widely championed by the Aboriginal elite, but their aspiration appears to be largely, if not entirely, for a truth-telling confession by the Anglo-European majority of the sins of the colonial past. If Reconciliation is to succeed as a unifying dialogue that helps bridge the racial divide and the disadvantage “gap”, it will require, perhaps as one of the first steps, truth-telling by the Aboriginal community about, and reconciliation with, the reality of their own traditional past.

Peter Purcell is a geologist, with experience in Australia, Oceania, South-East Asia and East Africa. He has edited several books on Australian geology and authored many articles on geological, environmental and social issues. He has had a lifelong interest in indigenous culture

[i] Kolig, E., 2017 Doing Research in Kimberley and carrying ideological baggage: a personal journey, in Peterson, N. and Kenny, A., Eds, *German Ethnography in Australia*, ANU Press, Canberra, ACT, Australia.

[ii] Petri, H. and Petri-Odermann, G., 1970 Stability and Change: Present Day Historic Aspects Among Australian Aborigines. In Berndt, R. M. (Ed), *Australian Aboriginal Anthology*. University of Western Australia Press, Perth, WA.

[iii] Kolig, E., 1980, Noah’s ark Revisited: On the myth-land connection in traditional Aboriginal thought, *Oceania*, Vol. 52, 118-32.

[iv] Swain, T., 1993, A Place for Strangers: Towards a History of Australian Aboriginal Being, Cambridge university press, Cambridge, England.

[v] Windshuttle, K., 2019, The Epicentre of our History, *Quadrant*, 562, LXIII, 12, p 4-5.

[vi] Caisley, O., 2020, New status for indigenous, *The Australian*, 12 February.

[vii] Cooper, D., 2017, DNA confirms Aboriginal people have a long-lasting connection to country, ABC Science 9 March 2017, abc.net.au.

[viii] Langton, M., 2020, Ancient cultural ‘belonging’, more than race, is the issue, *The Australian*, 15-16 February.

[ix] Coombs, H. C., 1982, Three waves and Australian Identity, *Aboriginal Treaty News*, 4, p9, quoted in Windshuttle, K., 2016 *The Break-up of Australia*, Quadrant Books, Sydney, Australia, 470 p.

[x] Agknowledge, 2018, A Future State for Indigenous Business in the Kimberley and Pilbara Pastoral Industry, Background Report prepared for Department of Primary Industries and Regional Development, 26 p.

[xi] Whittington, T., 2019, Freehold the land to save the mob, *WAFarmers Newsletter*, 3 February.

[xii] Bamford, M., Indigenous suicide rates in remote WA communities among worst in world, report finds, ABC news Online, 27 June 2016, accessed

3 February 2023.

[xiii] Fogliani, R., 2017, Inquest into the deaths of thirteen children and young persons in the Kimberley Region, Western Australia, Coroner' Of Western Australia, Reference No 25/2017

[xiv] Mendes, L., 2023, Hundreds of kids roam wild streets of Alice, *The Australian*, 23 January 2023.

[xv] Owen, M., 2018, The troubled sacred lands, *The Australian*, 30 July.

[xvi] Nowra, L, 2007, *Bad Dreaming*, Pluto Press, London UK, 102 p.

[xvii] Nowra, L, *Culture of Denial*, Australian Literary Review, 7 March 2007.

[xviii] Mouzos, J., 2001, Indigenous and Non-Indigenous Homicides in Australia: A Comparative Analysis, Trends and issues in crime and criminal justice, 210, p. 1-6

[xix] Price, J. N., 2021, The prison of selective outrage, *The Australian*, 9 April.

[xx] Price, J., 2020, Black Lives Matter masks truth behind Aboriginal deaths, *Daily Telegraph*, 9 June 2020.

[xxi] Kunoth-Monks, R., 2016, So little has changed in 50 years for first peoples, *The Australian*, 27 May 2016.

[xxii] Strehlow, T. G. H., 1969, *Journey to Horseshoe bend*, Angus and Robertson, Sydney, Australia, 220 p.

[xxiii] Lamb, J., 1987, Risks of Myth: The Politics of New Zealand literary Journals, *Meanjin*, 46, 3, 377-84

[xxiv] Tindale, N., 1974, *Aboriginal tribes of Australia*, University of California Press, Berkeley, USA, 404 p.

[xxv] Berndt, R. M., 1959, The concept of 'the tribe' in the Western Desert of Australia, *Oceania*, XXX, 2, 82-107.

[xxvi] Adcock, G. J., Dennis, E. S. et al., 2001, Mitochondrial DNA sequences in ancient Australians: Implications for modern human origins, *Proceedings of National Academy of Science*, USA, 98(2), 537-542.

[xxvii] Heupink, T. H., Subramanian, S, et al., 2016 Ancient mtDNA sequences from the First Australians revisited, *Proceedings of National Academy of Science*, USA, 113(25), 6892-7.

[xxviii] Arthur, B. and F. Murphy, Eds, 2019, *Macquarie Atlas of Indigenous Australia*, Macquarie Dictionary Publishers, Sydney, 304 p.

[xxix] Pugach, I., Frederick Delfin, F., et al., 2013, Genome-wide data substantiate Holocene gene flow from India to Australia, *Proceedings of National Academy of Science*, USA, 110(5), 1803-8

[xxx] Martinscroft, T, 2013, Indians and Australian Aborigines interbred 4,200 years ago, sharing DNA (and Dingoes), Ancestry-Genaeology & DNA, abroadintheyard.com website

[xxxi] Williams, A. N., Ulm, S., Turney, C.S., Rohde, D. and White, G., 2015, Holocene Demographic Changes and the Emergence of Complex Societies in Prehistoric Australia, PLoSONE, 10, 6. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0128661

[xxxii] Gas protest fought on false title claim, *The Australian*, 30 November 2017.

[xxxiii] Williams, A. N., Ulm, S., Cook, A. R., Langley, M. C. and Collard, M., 2013, Human refugia in Australia during the Last Glacial Maximum and Terminal Pleistocene: A geospatial analysis of the 25-12 ka Australian archaeological record, *Journal of Archaeological Science*, 40(12):4612-4625

[xxxiv] Williams, A. N., Ulm, S., Turney, C.S., Rohde, D. and White, G., 2015, op. cit.

[xxxv] Bowern, C., 2018, The origins of Pama Nyungan, Australia's largest family of Aboriginal languages, theconversation.com, published online March 13, 2018, accessed 26 January 2023.

[xxxvi] Smith, M., Watchman, A. L. and Ross, J., 2009, Direct Dating Indicates a Mid-Holocene Age for Archaic Rock Engravings in Arid Central Australia, *Geoarchaeology*, 24 (2)

[xxxvii] Bouckaert, R. R., Bowern, C. and Atkinson, Q. D., 2018, The origin and expansion of Pama-Nyungan languages across Australia, *Nature Ecology and Evolution*, 2, 741-9, <http://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-018-0489-3>.

[xxxviii] Williams, A. N., Ulm, S., Turney, C.S., Rohde, D. and White, G., 2015, op. cit.

[xxxix] Tobler, R., Rohrlach, A., et al, 2017, Aboriginal mitogenomes reveal 50,000 years of regionalism in Australia, *Nature*, 544. P. 180-184.

[xl] Stanton, J. 1983. Old Business, new owners: succession and 'the law' on the fringe of the Western Desert. In N. Peterson and M. Langton (eds) *Aborigines, Land and Land Rights*. pp. 160-170. Canberra: Australian Institute of Aboriginal Studies.

[xli] Holcombe, S., 2004, The sentimental community: a site of belonging, *The Australian Journal of Anthropology*, 15, 2, 163-84.

[xlii] A. Capell, 1952, Notes on the Nygena and Warwa Tribes, NW Australia, *Mankind*, 4, 9.

[xliii] Tindale, N, 1974, op. cit., p. 256

[xliv] Meggitt, M. J., 1962, *Desert People*, Angus and Robertson Publishers, Sydney, 338 p.

[xlv] Swain, T., 1993, op. cit.

[xlvi] Lommel, A., 1950, *Modern Cultural Influences on the Aborigines*,

Oceania, XX1, 1, pp 14-24

[xlvi] Meggitt, M. J., 1962, op. cit.

[xlviii] Tindale, N., 1974, op. cit.

[xlix] Berndt, R. M. and C. H. 1977. *The World of the First Australians*, Revised edition. Ure Smith, Sydney, Australia, p 356-60.

[l] Strehlow, T. G. H., 1992, For blacks, whites are not the only problem, *News Weekly*, 11 April 1992, p. 15-6.

[li] Tindale, N. B. and B. George, 1979, *The Australian Aborigines*, Budget Books, Lloyd O'Neil Pty Ltd, Melbourne.

[lii] Berndt, R. M. and C. H., 1951, *Sexual Behaviour in Western Arnhem Land*, Viking Fund Publications in Anthropology, 16, p180-4.

[liii] Watt, E. and Kowal, E., 2019, To be or not to be Indigenous? Understanding the rise of Australia's Indigenous population since 1971, *Ethnic and Racial Studies*, 42:16, 63-82.

[liv] Swanton, W., 2020, The spirit of Cathy Freeman v \$3.4Bn worth of superstars, *The Australian*, 5 August 2021.

[lv] Owen, M., 2018, The troubled sacred lands, *The Australian*, 30 July.

[lvi] Fitzpatrick, S., 2017, Turnbull's bid to unite the nation, *The Australian*, 5-6 August.

[lvii] Swain, T., 1985, *Interpreting Aboriginal Religion*, The Australian Association for the Study of Religions, Flinders University, Adelaide, South Australia, 156 p.

[lviii] Eliade, M. 1960, *Myths, Dreams and Mysteries*, Harper & Row, Publishers, New York, USA, 254 p. (Translated from the French by Philip Mairet)

[lix] Lamb, J., 1987, op. cit.

[lx] Berndt, R., 1964, The Gove dispute: The question of Australian aboriginal land and the preservation of sacred sites, *Anthropological Forum*, 1, 2.

[lxi] Woodward, E. 1974, Aboriginal Land Rights Commission 2nd Report, April 1974, Government Printer of Australia, Canberra, p 102.

[lxii] Keesing, R., 1989, Creating the past. Custom and identity in the contemporary Pacific. *The Contemporary Pacific*, Volume 1, Nos 1 and 2.

[lxiii] Grant, S., 2019, *On Identity*, Melbourne University Press, 128 p.

[lxiv] Baxendale, R., 2020, Pay the rent protestors label those who question racist, *The Australian*, 27 February.

[lxv] French, R. 1996, Access to the Frontiers, *The APPEA Journal*, 36, 2, 64-70

[[lxvi](#)] Blainey, G., 2021, Revisionism buries true past, *The Australian*, 17-18 July, 17.

[[lxvii](#)] Stanner, W. E. H., 1972, *After the Dreaming – Whither*, 1972 Address to the Royal Australasian College of Surgeons, in Stanner, W. E. H., 1979, *White Man got no Dreaming – Essays 1938-1973*, Australian National University Press, Canberra, Australia

[[lxviii](#)] Stanner, W. E. H., 1963, On Aboriginal Religion, Oceania Monograph Series, 11, Sydney, Australia.

[Show your support](#)

[Donate Now](#)

Q

Farnswort

A fantastic piece! Thank you, Peter.

[Reply](#)

Greg Jeffs

A wonderful, wide-ranging and comprehensive analysis. It does seem that humanity needs religion. If it is denied, or weakens, in one direction it will reappear in another guise. Witness the Noble Savage idea beautifully explained above and the ubiquitous Climate Cult or even socialism in general. Many of us have not experienced the indoctrination on these fronts that current youth (up to what age?) has presumably received. This may be why the desire for the Voice, Net-Zero and other such aims are incomprehensible if one does not have the appropriately ingrained way of thinking. Thanks to Peter Purcell for adding a great deal of clarity as to how religions or cults can rapidly develop.

[Reply](#)

Leave a Reply

Logged in as peterpurcell. [Edit your profile](#). [Log out?](#) Required fields are marked *

Comment *



Sign me up for the newsletter!

Post Comment

Related Articles

[Aborigines](#)

The Misrepresentations of the Massacre Map

Peter O'Brien – 30th August 2022

Comments (2)

[Aborigines](#)

No Place for Nomads

Michael Connor – 4th June 2020

Comments (12)

[Aborigines](#)

Cecil Cook and the Remote Aborigines

Barry Leithhead – 1st July 2013

Comments (0)

[News &](#)

[Opinion](#)

[Arts & Letters](#)

[Authors](#)

[Magazine](#)

[Store](#)

[Advertise](#)

[Submissions](#)

[Contact](#)

Keep up to date with Quadrant

Enter your email address

Submit

© 2018 Quadrant Online. Made by Emote